

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") as provided by the management of Valeura Energy Inc. ("Valeura" or the "Company") is dated as of June 14, 2011 and should be read in conjunction with Valeura's unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Additional information, including the Annual Information Form of Valeura for the year ended December 31, 2010, relating to Valeura is available at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

### Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34 – Interim Financial Reporting of the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). These financial statements are the Company's first IFRS interim consolidated financial statements after its transition to reporting in accordance with IFRS and before the issuance of its first publicly issued annual consolidated IFRS financial statements. IFRS 1 – First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS 1") has been applied to these interim consolidated financial statements. These interim consolidated financial statements use the accounting policies which the Company expects to adopt in its annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, with the exception of certain disclosures that are normally required to be included in annual consolidated financial statements which have been condensed or omitted. The reporting and measurement currency is the Canadian dollar, unless otherwise indicated.

An explanation of how the transition to IFRS has affected the reported financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the Company is provided in note 20 of the consolidated financial statements. The note includes reconciliations of equity and net loss for comparative periods from former Canadian GAAP ("previous GAAP") to IFRS.

The discussion and analysis of oil and natural gas production is presented on a working-interest, before royalty basis. For the purpose of calculating unit of production information, natural gas is converted to a barrel of oil equivalent ("boe") using six thousand cubic feet of natural gas equal to one barrel of oil. This conversion ratio of 6:1 is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. Readers are cautioned that boe as a unit of measure may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation.

The Company makes estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management reviews these estimates, including those related to accruals, the determination of proved reserves, environmental and asset retirement obligations and income taxes at each financial reporting period. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates and actual results may differ from these estimates. Readers should be aware that historical results are not necessarily indicative of future performance.

### Comparative Amounts

Upon completion of the Arrangement, Northern Hunter shareholders held approximately 57.4% of the issued and outstanding shares of PanWestern, prior to considering the effect of congruent equity financings. As a result, the Arrangement was accounted for as a reverse takeover and as a purchase of PanWestern by Northern Hunter using the purchase method based on the fair values of assets and liabilities of PanWestern. Therefore, the comparative amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2010 in the consolidated financial statements are the stand alone accounts for Northern Hunter, a private company until completion of the Arrangement.

**Special Note Regarding Non-IFRS Measures** – This MD&A includes references to financial measures commonly used in the oil and gas industry. The terms "operating netback" (petroleum and natural gas sales less royalties, production expenses and transportation costs) and "funds flow from operations" (net loss for the period adjusted for non-cash items in the statement of cash flows) are not IFRS measures and do not have standardized meanings

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

prescribed by IFRS. The closest IFRS measure to operating netback and funds flow from operations is net loss – see the reconciliation of these non-IFRS financial measures to net loss on page 8 under “Results of Operations”.

**Forward-looking Statements** – Certain information included in this MD&A constitutes forward-looking information under applicable securities legislation. Such forward-looking information is provided for the purpose of providing information about management's current expectations and plans relating to the future. Readers are cautioned that reliance on such information may not be appropriate for other purposes, such as making investment decisions. Forward-looking information typically contains statements with words such as "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "plan", "intend", "estimate", "propose", "project" or similar words suggesting future outcomes or statements regarding an outlook. Forward-looking information in this MD&A includes, but is not limited to, information with respect to: the Company's growth strategy, operational decisions and the timing thereof, development and exploration plans for the Company's Turkish operations, including the completion of the Phase I earning requirement and any expenditures and timing associated with the Phase II earning program under the AME-GYP farm-in, which is currently under review, the outcome of the Company's application to the General Directorate of Petroleum Affairs of the Republic of Turkey (“GDPA”) for an exploration license on one of the cancelled Rubai licenses and an extension on a Karakilise license and the timing associated therewith, the ability of the Company to obtain GDPA approval for the transfer of working interests in leases and licenses to Valeura and the timing thereof, the drilling plans for the Altinakar-1 well and the timing thereof, and future production levels. Forward-looking information is based on a number of factors and assumptions which have been used to develop such information but which may prove to be incorrect. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking information is reasonable, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking information because the Company can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. In addition to other factors and assumptions which may be identified in this MD&A, assumptions have been made regarding and are implicit in, among other things: the ability of the Company to execute its strategy and close on acquisitions; field production rates and decline rates; the ability of the Company to secure adequate product transportation; the impact of increasing competition in or near the Company's plays; the timely receipt of any required regulatory approvals, both domestically and internationally; continued operations of and approvals forthcoming from the GDPA in a manner consistent with past conducts; the ability of the Company to obtain qualified staff, equipment and services in a timely and cost efficient manner to develop its business; the Company's ability to operate the properties in a safe, efficient and effective manner; the ability of the Company to obtain financing on acceptable terms; the ability to replace and expand oil and natural gas reserves through acquisition, development of exploration; the timing and costs of pipeline, storage and facility construction and expansion; future oil and natural gas prices; currency, exchange and interest rates; the state of the capital markets; the regulatory framework regarding royalties, taxes and environmental matters; the ability of the Company to successfully manage the political and economic risks inherent in pursuing oil and gas opportunities in foreign countries; and the ability of the Company to successfully market its oil and natural gas products. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which have been used.

Forward-looking information is based on current expectations, estimates and projections that involve a number of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by the Company and described in the forward-looking information. The material risk factors affecting the Company and its business are similar to those of other companies engaged in the business of exploring for and producing oil and gas, both domestically and in foreign countries. See the “Business Risks and Uncertainties” section of this MD&A for a further description of the risks facing the Company.

The forward-looking information contained in this MD&A is made as of the date hereof and the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by applicable securities laws. The forward looking information contained in this MD&A is expressly qualified by this cautionary statement.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Highlights and Selected Financial Information**

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$ 562,132	\$ 861,347
Net loss	(4,262,009)	(1,707,111)
Per share, basic and diluted	(0.02)	(0.03)
Funds flow from operations <sup>1</sup>	(1,924,325)	(347,517)
Per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)
<b>Production volumes</b>		
Crude oil and NGL's (bbl/d)	54	78
Natural gas (Mcf/d)	611	967
Total (boe /d)	156	239
<b>Sales prices</b>		
Crude oil (per bbl)	\$ 69.98	\$ 71.36
Natural gas liquids (per bbl)	53.92	44.33
Natural gas (per Mcf)	4.35	4.82
Total (per boe)	40.13	40.07
Capital expenditures	4,197,962	394,177
Net working capital (deficiency) <sup>2</sup>	10,300,713	(5,992,407)
Cash and cash equivalents	9,737,280	-
Credit facility	\$ -	\$ 4,730,345
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic and diluted) <sup>3</sup>	198,677,125	67,285,829

1. Funds flow from operations is calculated as cash flow from operating activities before adjustments for asset retirement expenditures and net changes in non-cash working capital
2. Net working capital is calculated as cash, working capital and demand credit facility borrowings
3. The average number of common shares outstanding was not increased for outstanding stock options and performance warrants as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

**Outstanding Share Data**

As at March 31, 2011

Common shares	198,677,125
Stock options	10,825,000
Performance warrants	27,967,500
Diluted	237,469,625

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

### **The Company**

Valeura Energy Inc. ("Valeura" or the "Company") is currently engaged in the exploration, development and production of petroleum and natural gas in Turkey and Western Canada. The Company is continuing to pursue a strategy to expand internationally in Turkey and other selected countries in the Middle East and North Africa region and the Mediterranean Basin. Valeura's shares are traded on the TSX Venture Exchange under the trading symbol VLE.

Valeura evolved from two predecessor companies, PanWestern Energy Inc. ("PanWestern"), a public company that was listed on the TSX Venture Exchange, and Northern Hunter Energy Inc. ("Northern Hunter"), a private oil and gas company, both of which operated in Canada. On April 9, 2010, PanWestern and Northern Hunter completed a Plan of Arrangement (the "Arrangement") under the Business Corporations Act (Alberta) whereby PanWestern acquired all of the assets and liabilities of Northern Hunter. Upon completion of the Arrangement, Northern Hunter shareholders held approximately 57.4% of the issued and outstanding shares of PanWestern, prior to considering the effect of any equity financings. As a result, the Arrangement is accounted for as a purchase of PanWestern by Northern Hunter, or a reverse take-over, using the purchase method based on the fair values of assets and liabilities of PanWestern (see note 5). As part of the Arrangement, the Board of Directors of PanWestern was reconstituted with members from Northern Hunter and the management team became that of Northern Hunter. Subsequent to completion of the Arrangement, PanWestern changed its name to Valeura as approved at PanWestern's annual and special meeting of shareholders on June 29, 2010.

Valeura adopted a strategy to achieve early international growth through opportunistic acquisitions of producing assets with exploitation and exploration upside in selected countries in the regions of interest. The Company completed its first international transaction in Turkey, one of the targeted countries, on September 1, 2010 and had executed two other transactions in Turkey as at March 31, 2011.

### **Turkish Operations**

#### **AME-GYP Farm-in**

Valeura's first transaction in Turkey was a two-phase farm-in on lands held by Aladdin Middle East Ltd. ("AME") and Guney Yildizi Petrol Uretim Sondaj, Muteahhitlik ve Ticaret A.S. ("GYP") for a minimum consideration of US\$8.8 million (Phase I) and a maximum consideration of US\$17.6 million (Phase I + Phase II) by the end of 2011. The lands are in the Anatolian Basin in southeast Turkey, which are prospective for light and heavy oil development, exploitation and exploration. The lands included a production lease on the Kahta heavy oil field, three exploration licenses in the Karakilise area and five exploration licenses in the Rubai area. Subsequent to the execution of the farm-in agreement, one exploration license was relinquished in the Karakilise area and a second has been continued for a further three years following a successful recompletion of an existing well. An application has been submitted to the GDPA to continue the third exploration license for a further three years following a new discovery drilled by Valeura under the earning program. At Rubai, all five licenses expired due to the failure to meet district drilling requirements. Valeura re-applied for one of the expired Rubai exploration licenses on May 12, 2011. The outcome and timing of this application are uncertain.

Phase I expenditures total \$7.4 million to March 31, 2011 (cumulative to-date) and are expected to increase to the Phase I commitment of US\$8.8 million by the end of Q3 2011. A decision to proceed with the optional Phase II program is currently under review.

#### **Edirne Asset Acquisition**

The Company closed its purchase of natural gas assets in the Thrace Basin from Edirne Enerji Petrol Arama Üretim Ve Ticaret Limited Şirketi ("Edirne") on March 24, 2011 for a total cash payment of approximately US\$2.3 million, including value added tax and purchase price adjustments from the October 1, 2010 effective date to January 31,

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

2011. There was a further purchase price adjustment for revenue, operating expenses and capital expenditure between February 1, 2011 and closing.

The Edirne license covers an area of 100,080 gross acres (35,028 net acres) in the Thrace Basin. Valeura acquired a 35 percent working interest in the lands and producing assets associated with the Edirne license. Opportunities exist on the Edirne license to carry out well workovers, compression and additional drilling to mitigate natural declines. There is additional upside in prospective deeper conventional and unconventional tight gas plays. The Company will be focusing on determining the potential for these types of plays on the Edirne license in conjunction with the broader assessment of the deep potential on the Company's lands in the Thrace Basin.

**Thrace Basin (TBNG-PTI) Asset Acquisition**

The Company closed its second acquisition of producing natural gas assets and lands in the Thrace Basin of northwest Turkey and interests in exploration lands in the Southeast Anatolian Basin (Gaziantep area) of southeast Turkey owned by Thrace Basin Natural Gas (Turkiye) Corporation ("TBNG") and Pinnacle Turkey Inc. ("PTI") on June 8, 2011 for US\$57.3 million after adjustments for the period from the effective date to March 31, 2011. There will be a further purchase price adjustment for revenue, operating expense and capital expenditures from April 1, 2011 to June 8, 2011. This was a joint acquisition with an affiliate of TransAtlantic Petroleum Ltd. ("TransAtlantic").

This acquisition provides immediate cash flow to the Company from sales of approximately 9.4 MMcf/d (net before royalties) of shallow gas production in the Thrace Basin, 588,719 net acres of land, and exposure to a potentially significant unconventional tight gas opportunity in the Turkey.

The lands located in the Thrace Basin include four production leases and 10 exploration licences, of which two licences are entirely on land, three licences have a portion in the shallow waters (up to 200 meter water depth) of the Sea of Marmara and five licenses are in the deeper waters (200 to 1,200 meter water depth). Valeura has net acreage in the onshore areas of 203,140 acres (40% interest) and 227,024 acres offshore (15% to 35%).

Natural gas is currently produced in the Thrace Basin from approximately 169 wells, all located onshore, that are completed primarily in stacked sands in the Danismen and Osmancik formations at relatively shallow depths of 500 to 1,500 meters. The gas is processed and compressed in TBNG facilities and is distributed on TBNG's pipeline network directly to more than 80 commercial and end-user customers. TransAtlantic will take over responsibilities for the marketing arrangements on behalf of the parties.

Opportunities exist on the Thrace Basin lands to continue to pursue exploration and development drilling, well workovers and wellhead compression to mitigate natural declines in existing production from conventional shallow gas reservoirs. In 2010, for example, 50 exploration and development wells were drilled by TBNG in the Thrace Basin. Approximately 3,500 km of legacy 2D seismic is available on the onshore lands in the Thrace Basin and it is expected that additional 2D and 3D seismic will be acquired to support the Company's anticipated exploration and development drilling program.

Valeura believes there is upside potential associated with applying modern technology to exploit deeper tight gas sand and shales in the Mezardere, Ceylan, and Hamitabat formations at depths to the top of these formations from 1,000 to 3,500 meters. Selective deep drilling in the past indicates the presence of relatively low porosity (3 to 15%), stacked sandstone reservoirs in these formations that are gas-charged.

**Financing**

Upon closing of the TBNG-PTI asset acquisition, the Company received funds out of escrow from its February 2011 private placement of subscription receipts. Total gross proceeds were \$86.25 million. Valeura issued a total of

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

265,384,350 subscription receipts at a price of \$0.325 per subscription receipt. The underwriters received a fee equal to 5% of the gross proceeds raised, of which \$1,509,373 was paid at closing on February 28, 2011 and the remainder totalling \$2,803,122 was paid upon satisfaction of the escrow release conditions.

Each subscription receipt represented the right to automatically receive one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant of the Company and the subscription receipts were converted into the underlying common shares and warrants on June 8, 2011 concurrently with the release of funds from escrow and the closing of the TBNG-PTI asset acquisition. Each full warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share at a price of \$0.55 per common share for a period of 60 months from the closing of the offering. The Company will have the right to accelerate the expiry of the warrants to 30 days from the date of notice if the 20 day volume weighted average price of the Company's common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange is equal to or greater than \$1.10 per common share.

## **Outlook**

### **Operations**

With the closing of the TBNG-PTI acquisition, the Corporation has developed a preliminary capital budget of \$30 to \$35 million for 2011 (approximately 90% in the second half) to be confirmed in partner meetings in Turkey planned for late June. The budget excludes capital expenditures on the TBNG-PTI and Edirne lands prior to the closing date of these acquisitions, which amounts are included in purchase price adjustments for the Edirne and TBNG-PTI acquisitions in the Corporation's financial statements. The preliminary budget includes up to 27 drill wells (13.7 net) in the shallow gas sands, and up to six re-entry fracs (2.4 net) and eight drill and fracs (3.2 net) to test stimulated flow capability of deeper tight gas sands in the Mezardere formation in the Thrace Basin.

The Corporation anticipates completing Phase I of the AME-GYP farm-in in the third quarter of 2011, assuming that an extension to the exploration term of Karakilise License 2674 is granted by the GDPA and drilling resumes in the Altınakar-1 well to test the Bedinan formation. Completion of Phase I would enable the Corporation to seek GDPA approval to register Valeura's earned interest in one production lease at Kahta (25% interest) and two exploration licenses at Karakilise (25% interest). The potential scope of any Phase II earning program for the remainder of 2011 is under review.

### **Business Development**

The Corporation is pursuing other farm-in and acquisition opportunities in Turkey. These have the potential to further expand the Corporation's acreage position, particularly in the Thrace Basin.

The Corporation is also pursuing other opportunities in the Middle East and North Africa region, Mediterranean Basin and Central Europe. The Corporation was unsuccessful in its joint bid with Svenska Petroleum Exploration to acquire Block III in the Syrian bid round of exploration licenses held in December 2010.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Results of Operations**

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$ 562,132	\$ 861,347
Royalties	(56,280)	(73,505)
Production costs	(365,434)	(389,965)
Transportation	(7,569)	(21,936)
Operating netback	132,849	375,941
Other income	41,930	-
General and administrative	(1,488,215)	(292,596)
Transaction costs	(610,889)	(386,951)
Financing costs	-	(43,911)
Funds flow from operations <sup>1</sup>	(1,924,325)	(347,517)
<b>Non-cash expenses</b>		
Stock based compensation	(614,656)	(848,221)
Foreign exchange loss	(114,004)	-
Financing costs	(3,108)	(2,500)
Exploration expense	(1,377,650)	(95,795)
Depletion and depreciation	(228,266)	(332,378)
Deferred tax expense	-	(80,700)
Net loss	\$ (4,262,009)	\$ (1,707,111)

**Operating Netbacks (per boe)<sup>2</sup>**

Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$ 40.13	\$ 40.07
Royalties	(4.02)	(3.42)
Production costs	(26.09)	(18.14)
Transportation	(0.54)	(1.02)
Operating netback	\$ 9.48	\$ 17.49

**Petroleum and Natural Gas Production**

Daily production		
Crude oil & NGL's(bbl/d)	54	78
Natural gas (Mcf/d)	611	967
Total (boe/d)	156	239

Average production volumes decreased by 35 percent from 239 boe/d in Q1 2010 to 156 boe/d in Q1 2011. The decrease is due to natural declines, the shut-in of uneconomic natural gas producing properties, a prior period adjustment of one of the Company's Canadian properties, and operational constraints.

<sup>1</sup> Non-IFRS measure – see note regarding non-IFRS measures on page 1

<sup>2</sup> Operating netbacks are calculated using production volumes on a boe basis for each period

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Pricing Information**

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Average benchmark prices		
Crude oil – Edmonton Light (per bbl)	\$ 87.15	\$ 80.08
Natural gas – AECO 5A (per Mcf)	3.74	4.94
Valeura's average realized prices		
Crude oil (per bbl)	69.98	71.36
Natural gas liquids (per bbl)	53.92	44.33
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 4.35	\$ 4.82

The following table shows the percentage increase (decrease) in Valeura's realized prices for Q1 2011 when compared with Q1 2010:

Crude oil	(2%)
Natural gas liquids	22%
Natural gas	(10%)

Natural gas prices remain stronger in Turkey. The Company has positioned itself to spend the majority of its capital in 2011 on natural gas targets in Turkey.

**Petroleum and Natural Gas Sales Revenues**

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Revenues by product		
Crude oil	\$ 268,682	\$ 342,534
Natural gas liquids	54,485	99,057
Natural gas	238,965	419,756
Total revenues	\$ 562,132	\$ 861,347

Petroleum and natural gas sales revenues for Q1 2011 were 58 percent oil and natural gas liquids and 42 percent natural gas. Lower revenues for Q1 2011 as compared to Q1 2010 are primarily the result of lower crude oil and natural gas production, a prior period adjustment to oil revenues on the Company's Leo property, and lower natural gas prices, partially offset by increased natural gas liquids prices.

**Royalties**

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Total	\$ 56,280	\$ 73,505
Percentage of revenue	10.0%	8.5%

Royalties decreased in Q1 2011 as compared to Q1 2010 primarily due to lower production volumes. Royalty rates for Q1 2011 increased when compared to Q1 2010 due to prior period adjustments. Royalty rates vary across periods depending on the production mix, prices and individual well production rates.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Operating Costs**

	<b>Three months ended</b>	
	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	March 31, 2010
Production costs	\$ 365,434	\$ 389,965
Transportation costs	7,569	21,936
Total operating costs	\$ 373,003	\$ 411,901
\$ per boe	26.63	19.16

Overall operating costs decreased in Q1 2011 when compared to Q1 2010 primarily due to lower production. On a unit cost basis, costs increased to \$26.63/boe in Q1 2011 versus \$19.16/boe in Q1 2010. The unit cost increase is primarily the result of a prior period adjustment on the Company's Leo property. Operating costs at Grand Forks/Hays are currently the largest component of corporate operating costs and are relatively high due to the cost to truck and process emulsion and equipment rental costs.

**General and Administrative Expenses**

	<b>Three months ended</b>	
	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	March 31, 2010
General and administrative	\$ 876,530	\$ 301,429
Business development	614,935	-
Total gross general and administrative expenses	1,491,465	301,429
Recoveries	(3,250)	(8,833)
Total net general and administrative expenses	\$ 1,488,215	\$ 292,596

General and administrative ("G&A") costs increased significantly in Q1 2011 when compared to Q1 2010 due mainly to increased costs related to international business development activities consistent with the Company's new international growth strategy. Business development costs include third party agent's fees, consulting, software, legal and travel costs. Other G&A costs are higher due to a larger number of employees and consultants and higher office costs related to an increase in personnel.

**Transaction Costs**

In accordance with IFRS 3 – "Business Combinations", acquisition related costs (transaction costs) are recognized separately from the business combination and are included in the statement of loss. Transaction costs of \$610,889 incurred in Q1 2011 (Q1-2010 - \$386,951) pertained to both the Edirne and TBNG-PTI asset acquisitions.

**Financing costs**

For the three months ended:	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	March 31, 2010
Accretion of decommissioning obligations	\$ 3,108	\$ 2,500
Interest expense	-	43,911
	\$ 3,108	\$ 46,411

Interest expense was \$nil in Q1 2011 compared to \$43,911 in Q1 2010. This is the result of paying down the Company's credit facilities upon completion of the PanWestern acquisition.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

**Foreign Exchange Loss**

The Company incurred a foreign exchange loss in Q1 2011 of \$114,004. This is mainly the result of the devaluation of the US dollar against the Canadian dollar which impacted working capital denominated in US dollars. The Company did not have any foreign currency transactions in Q1 2010.

**Other Income**

Other income of \$41,930 (Q1 2010 – \$nil) represents interest income related to cash on hand during Q1 2011.

**Funds Flow from Operations**

The net outflow of funds from operations for Q1 2011 was \$1,924,325 compared to \$347,517 in Q1 2010. The increase in net outflow of funds in the current quarter was due to increased general and administrative costs associated with international business development activities, and specifically the Edirne and TBNG-PTI asset acquisitions.

**Non-cash Expenses:****Stock-based Compensation**

Stock-based compensation is a non-cash expense associated with the stock options and performance warrants issued to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company.

Stock-based compensation expense of \$614,656 in Q1 2011 was lower than the Q1 2010 amount of \$848,221. Performance warrants issued in Q1 2010 attracted a higher amount of stock-based compensation expense due to the tranche level vesting required under IFRS.

**Exploration and Evaluation**

Exploration and evaluation expenses consist of impairment of the Company's exploration projects. Exploration and evaluation expense for Q1 2011 was \$1,377,650, consisting of impairment on the Company's Rubai licenses.

**Depletion and Depreciation**

Depletion and depreciation ("D&D") for Q1 2011 of \$228,266 was lower than \$332,378 for Q1 2010 due to lower production volumes. The D&D rate for Q1 2011 was \$16.30 per boe as compared to \$15.46 per boe for Q1 2010. Depletion is calculated over proved plus probable reserves.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Capital Expenditures**

The following summarizes the Company's capital spending:

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Turkey		
Geological and geophysical	\$ 117,800	\$ -
Drilling and completions	2,192,140	-
Asset acquisitions	1,946,450	-
Turkey total	4,256,390	-
Canada total	(58,428)	394,177
Consolidated total	\$ 4,197,962	\$ 394,177

**Turkey**
**Anatolian Basin**

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company incurred \$1,984,938 of capital expenditures dedicated to Phase 1 of the AME-GYP farm-in agreement. The Company spent \$117,800 in Q1 2011 for seismic on the Karakilise and Rubai licenses and \$1,867,138 related to the drilling of the Altinakar well at Karakilise. The well was drilled to the Mardin formation and application was made for continuation of the license. If an extension is received from the GDPA, the Company plans to deepen the well to the Bedinian formation as originally contemplated.

On April 26, 2011, an order was signed by the Minister of Energy and Natural Resources in Turkey regarding the expired Rubai exploration licenses. These licenses were part of the AME-GYP farm-in agreement and were considered as part of both the Phase I and Phase II capital commitment. The Bostanci-1 well was spudded prior to the Ministry notice and such costs will be expensed as incurred. Management is reviewing its work plan on the AME-GYP farm-in lands to re-assess Phase II expenditures. Valeura has applied for an exploration license covering an area in one of the expired Rubai licenses. This application will not fall within the AME-GYP farm-in.

**Thrace Basin**

On March 24, 2011, The Company completed the acquisition of certain producing natural gas assets in the Thrace Basin in Turkey (named "Edirne asset acquisition") for an adjusted purchase price of \$1.95 million at March 24, 2011. The assets consist of a 35% non-operated working interest in the Edirne Exploration license 3839 and natural gas production of approximately 1.5 MMcf/d net to Valeura. Q1 2011 exploration and evaluation expenditures include a shallow gas well drilled at Edirne which resulted in a dry hole.

The addition of the TBNG-PTI acquisition assets in the Thrace Basin (see note 19 of the financial statements) in the second quarter of 2011 will provide significant drilling inventory in both the shallow and deeper gas horizons. Valeura anticipates a \$30 to \$35 million capital program for the remainder of 2011 of which approximately \$15 million will be spent on oil prospects in the Anatolian Basin and the remaining \$15 to \$20 million on natural gas prospects in the Thrace Basin. The combination of the application of 3D seismic and new fracturing technology provides potentially significant upside for the deep basin play.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The following table reconciles PP&amp;E and E&amp;E expenditures from the statement of cash flows:

Property, plant and equipment assets	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	March 31, 2010
Canada	\$ (58,428)	\$ 298,382
Acquisition of Edirne PP&E assets	<b>1,280,150</b>	-
	<b>1,221,722</b>	298,382
Exploration and evaluation assets		
Drilling and completions	<b>2,192,140</b>	95,795
Seismic	<b>117,800</b>	-
Acquisition of Edirne E&E assets	<b>666,300</b>	-
	<b>2,976,240</b>	95,795
Total capital expenditures	<b>\$ 4,197,962</b>	\$ 394,177

**Liquidity, Financing and Capital Resources**

	<b>Three months ended March 31, 2011</b>
<b>Opening cash position</b>	\$ 19,460,311
<b>Flow of funds</b>	
Cash outflow from operations	1,924,325
Capital expenditures	4,197,962
TBNG-PTI deposit, deal costs and other	5,287,319
Other working capital changes	(1,686,575)
	9,723,031
<b>Closing cash position</b>	\$ 9,737,280

**Capital Funding and Resources**

As at March 31, 2011, Valeura's working capital balance was 10,300,713. The Company's credit facilities have no amounts drawn at the date of this MD&A.

The Company's cash position will be the primary source of capital for all exploration and development expenditures in 2011. Valeura's opening working capital position for 2011 was \$19,696,967 (including a cash position of \$19,460,311). Upon funding the Q1 2011 capital program of \$4,197,962, paying a deposit and agents fees for the TBNG-PTI acquisition of \$5,287,319 and funding cash out flow from operations of \$1,924,325, the Company has \$10,300,713 of working capital on March 31, 2011.

**Bank Facility**

Valeura's credit facilities are with a Canadian chartered bank and are comprised of a \$2,650,000 revolving operating demand loan at an interest rate of bank prime plus 1.5% and a \$1,000,000 development demand loan at an interest rate of bank prime plus 1.75%. The credit facility is secured by a first floating charge demand debenture in the amount of \$10,000,000 and a general security agreement over all assets. As at March 31, 2011, there were no amounts owing under the facility. Pursuant to the terms of the credit facility, the Company is subject to a financial covenant with respect to working capital with which the Company was in compliance at March 31, 2011. The credit facilities are currently under review. Upon closing the TBNG-PTI acquisition in the second quarter of 2011, the Company is in a position to expand its borrowing capacity with the addition of production and cash flow in Turkey. The process to review proposals for an international lending facility has been initiated with results expected during the third quarter of 2011.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

**Financial Capacity**

During the second quarter of 2011, Valeura raised US\$86.25 million (US\$81.94 million after fees) of equity capital to fund the TBNG-PTI acquisition of US\$57.3 million.

At the end of Q2 2011, subsequent to closing the TBNG-PTI acquisition and including the release of subscription receipt funds from escrow, the Company will have an estimated working capital position in excess of \$35.0 million. The combination of working capital surplus and estimated cash flow of \$10 million for the second half of 2011 is expected to fund an estimated capital program of \$30 to \$35 million. The Company has sufficient working capital for 2011 to complete the planned capital program for the remainder of the year. This strong financial position combined with an anticipated expanded bank loan facility is expected to provide the Company with financial capacity to expand its drilling program or complete further acquisitions.

**Capital Management**

The Company's objective when managing capital is to maintain a flexible capital structure which allows it to execute its growth strategy through strategic acquisitions and expenditures on exploration and development activities while maintaining a strong financial position. The Company's capital structure includes working capital, bank loans and shareholders' equity. At this point in time, total capital resources available include working capital plus the unused portion of the Company's credit line.

The Company's capital expenditure includes expenditures in oil and gas activities which may or may not be successful. The Company makes adjustments to the capital structure in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying petroleum and natural gas assets. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may, from time to time, issue shares, adjust its capital spending or issue debt instruments. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements other than covenants on its credit facility with its lender to maintain an adjusted working capital ratio of not less than 1 to 1 at all times. At March 31, 2011, the Company's adjusted working capital ratio was in excess of 4 to 1 (excluding restricted cash and subscription receipts payable).

Valeura has not utilized bank loans or debt capital to finance capital expenditures to date. The Company anticipates expanding its bank loan capacity in 2011 with the expansion of its operations in Turkey and the increased borrowing base that is expected. In the future, if the Company borrows on its bank loan facility for capital expansion, the Company will monitor capital based on the ratio of net debt to annualized funds from operations. This ratio represents the time period it would take to pay off the debt if no further capital expenditures were incurred and if funds from operations remained constant.

**Share Capital**

As at June 14, 2011, Valeura had 464,061,475 common shares, 10,905,000 options to purchase common shares, and 160,659,675 warrants (comprised of 29,967,500 performance warrants and 132,692,175 common share purchase warrants) issued and outstanding (635,626,150 common shares fully diluted).

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Selected Quarterly Information**

	Three months ended			
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010
Total daily production (boe/d)	156	193	243	263
Average wellhead price (\$/boe)	40.13	38.63	35.54	37.31
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$ 562,132	\$ 686,097	\$ 794,216	\$ 892,898
Funds from operations	(1,924,325)	(879,447)	(682,651)	(885,673)
\$ per share (basic and diluted) <sup>1</sup>	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)
Net loss	(4,262,009)	(3,350,588)	(3,171,965)	(3,194,474)
\$ per share (basic and diluted) <sup>1</sup>	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)

	Three months ended			
	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Total daily production (boe/d)	239	254	336	374
Average wellhead price (\$/boe)	40.07	36.43	29.02	28.42
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$ 861,347	\$ 851,807	\$ 897,873	\$ 966,232
Funds from operations	(347,517)	(132,008)	(176,177)	(14,761)
\$ per share (basic and diluted) <sup>1</sup>	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Net loss	(1,707,111)	(575,303)	(1,174,449)	(763,731)
\$ per share (basic and diluted) <sup>1</sup>	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)

Note 1: The average number of common shares outstanding was not increased for outstanding stock options and performance warrants as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

The 2010 and 2011 quarterly results have been adjusted to conform to IFRS. The quarterly results for 2009 have not been adjusted and reflect the results in accordance with previous GAAP.

Significant factors and trends that have impacted the Company's results during the above periods include:

- Revenue is directly impacted by the Company's ability to replace existing declining production and add incremental production through its on-going capital expenditure program.
- Over the past two years, the price of natural gas in Canada has been negatively impacted by an increasing supply of natural gas coming from new technology tapping into abundant supplies of tight shale gas reservoirs in North America. With depressed natural gas prices, Valeura has focused its capital expenditures towards international development with higher netbacks. The impact of this strategy is expected to be fully visible in Q2 and Q3 of this year.
- The Company incurred impairment charges of \$1,478,228 on its Canadian operations in 2010 and \$1,377,650 on its Turkish operations in Q1 2011.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

**Segmented Information**

For the three months ended:	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Petroleum and natural gas revenue		
Canada	\$ 478,117	\$ 861,347
Turkey	84,015	-
	<b>562,132</b>	861,347
Net loss		
Canada	(2,788,669)	(1,907,111)
Turkey	(1,473,340)	-
	<b>(4,262,009)</b>	(1,907,111)
Capital expenditures		
Canada	(58,427)	394,177
Turkey	4,256,389	-
	<b>4,197,962</b>	394,177
Total assets		
Canada	112,634,866	12,035,104
Turkey	9,196,364	-
	<b>\$ 121,831,230</b>	\$ 12,035,104

**Commitments**

On September 1, 2010, the Company entered into a farm-in agreement on lands held under the AME-GYP farm-in agreement. The farm-in allows Valeura to earn varying working interests in a production lease and one group of exploration licenses in southeast Turkey. The agreement stipulates a Phase I minimum earning program of US\$8.8 million and an optional Phase II program of the same amount to increase earning expenditures up to a maximum of US\$17.6 million. The working interest earned in the production lease and the group of licenses is based on a sliding scale (between the minimum and maximum earning expenditures) to be determined based on final capital expenditures incurred. No interests are earned unless the Phase I earning program is completed (\$7.4 million was spent as of March 31, 2011). The additional Phase II program of US\$8.8 million is discretionary under the farm-in agreement and is currently under review.

If any Phase II expenditures are incurred, an additional success fee of 1.5% is due and payable, in accordance with an executed consulting services agreement, on the total Phase II expenditures incurred, up to a maximum of 1.5% of US\$8.8 million. The success fee, if any, will be paid in Valeura shares and is calculated by dividing the success fee by the volume weighted average trading price of Valeura for the five days prior to the date the contingent payment is owed.

The Company has until December 31, 2011 to incur expenditures and earn interests in the production lease and exploration licenses under the AME-GYP farm-in agreement. The earning program entails evaluating an existing mature heavy oil field for further reservoir development and production, re-completing two indicated oil discovery wells, drilling development wells, shooting seismic and drilling exploration wells on previously unexplored lands.

As previously discussed, \$1,377,650 had been spent on the Rubai licenses in southeast Turkey. Although these licenses expired, the expenditures will count towards earning on the remaining lands.

On May 4, 2011, the Company completed a farm-in on License 4201 (100%) ("Marhat") in the Thrace Basin. The license requires a commitment to drill two wells and the Company plans to drill the first well in 2011.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

On June 13, 2011, the Company completed a farm-in on Licenses 4094 and 4532 (50%) in conjunction with the TBNG-PTI acquisition with TransAtlantic in the Thrace Basin. The combined licenses require the commitment to drill two wells and spend US\$3.0 million on seismic. The Company plans to drill the first well and complete the seismic program in 2011.

The ultimate recovery of property and equipment costs in Turkey is dependent upon the Company earning under its farm-in agreements and upon the existence and commercial exploitation of petroleum and natural gas reserves. Uncertainties affect the recoverability of these costs as the recovery of the costs outlined above is dependent upon the Company obtaining government approvals, obtaining and maintaining licenses in good standing and achieving commercial production.

### Related Party Transactions

- (a) During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company incurred legal fees of \$471,060 (March 31, 2010 - \$306,700) from a legal firm in which a partner acts as the Company's Corporate Secretary.
- (b) During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company incurred \$nil (March 31, 2010 - \$23,600) in consulting fees and expenses from a corporation whose principal shareholder is a director of the Company.

### Subsequent Events

On June 8, 2011, Valeura closed its previously announced TBNG-PTI asset acquisition, with an effective date of October 1, 2010. The Company purchased assets comprised of approximately 9.4 MMcf/d of natural gas production (net before royalties), 588,719 net acres of land in the Thrace and Anatolian basins of Turkey and exposure to an unconventional tight gas opportunity in the Thrace Basin. The total purchase price paid for these assets was US\$57.3 million (excluding VAT) which includes adjustments from the effective date of October 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 and the deposit of US\$3.25 million previously paid. There will be a further purchase price adjustment for the period of April 1, 2011 to June 8, 2011.

The transfer of registered ownership of the assets to Valeura's subsidiary in Turkey is subject to the approval of the GDPA, a process that is anticipated to take three to six months. Pending GDPA approval, Valeura's subsidiary will retain the economic rights to the assets pursuant to a net profit interest agreement, effective from April 1, 2011.

Upon closing of the TBNG-PTI asset acquisition, the Company received funds out of escrow from its February 2011 private placement of subscription receipts. Total gross proceeds were \$86.25 million. Valeura issued a total of 265,384,350 subscription receipts at a price of \$0.325 per subscription receipt. The underwriters received a fee equal to 5% of the gross proceeds raised, of which \$1,509,373 was paid at closing on February 28, 2011 and the remainder totaling \$2,803,122 was paid upon satisfaction of the escrow release conditions.

Each subscription receipt represented the right to automatically receive one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant of the Company and the subscription receipts were converted into the underlying common shares and warrants on June 8, 2011 concurrently with the release of funds from escrow and the closing of the TBNG-PTI asset acquisition. Each full warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share at a price of \$0.55 per common share for a period of 60 months from the closing of the offering. The Company will have the right to accelerate the expiry of the warrants to 30 days from the date of notice if the 20 day volume weighted average price of the Company's common shares on the TSX Venture Exchange is equal to or greater than \$1.10 per common share.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Upon conversion of the subscription receipts to common shares and warrants, the proforma share capital outstanding is:

	January 1, 2011	Additions	Proforma (as at March 31, 2011)
Common shares	198,677,125	265,384,350	464,061,475
Stock options	10,685,000	140,000	10,825,000
Performance warrants	27,967,500	-	27,967,500
Warrants	-	132,692,175	132,692,175
Diluted	237,329,625	398,216,525	635,546,150

**New Accounting Pronouncements and Policies**
*International Financial Reporting Standards*

Effective January 1, 2011, Canadian public companies are required to adopt International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") which will include comparatives for 2010. The Company's IFRS accounting policies are provided in note 3 to the interim consolidated financial statements. In addition, note 20 to the interim consolidated financial statements provides reconciliations between the Company's 2010 previous GAAP results and its 2010 results under IFRS. The reconciliations include the consolidated statement of financial position as at January 1, 2010, March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2010 and consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and year ended December 31, 2010.

The following provides summary reconciliations of Valeura's January 1, 2010 previous GAAP to IFRS transitional Summary Statement of Financial Position reconciliations along with a discussion of the significant IFRS accounting policy changes:

*Summary Statement of Financial Position Reconciliations*

As at Date of IFRS Transition – January 1, 2010

	Previous GAAP (December 31, 2009)	Effect of transition to IFRS	Note	IFRS (January 1, 2010)
Current assets	\$ 587,275	\$ -		\$ 587,275
Property, plant and equipment	11,415,791	-	1,2	11,415,791
Deferred taxes	139,200	-		139,200
Deferred transaction costs	200,000	(200,000)	8	-
	\$ 12,342,266	\$ (200,000)		\$ 12,142,266
Current liabilities	\$ 5,837,988	\$ -		\$ 5,837,988
Decommissioning obligations	186,500	67,400	5	253,900
Deferred premium on flow-through shares	-	58,500	7	58,500
Share capital	10,795,576	280,704	7	11,076,280
Contributed surplus	134,312	30,275	6	164,587
Deficit	(4,612,110)	(636,879)	5,6,7	(5,248,989)
	\$ 12,342,266	\$ (200,000)		\$ 12,142,266

On transition to IFRS, on January 1, 2010, Valeura used certain exemptions allowed under IFRS 1 First Time Adoption of International Reporting Standards. The exemptions used were as follows:

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

1. Under IFRS, PP&E assets are grouped into areas designated as cash generating units ("CGUs" or "CGU") for the purposes of impairment testing. IFRS 1 provides for the allocation of the previous GAAP net book value of PP&E assets, excluding E&E assets, to CGUs on a pro-rata basis using the reserve volumes or values as at December 31, 2009. Valeura has elected to allocate the PP&E balance using reserve values and at January 1, 2010, the value allocated to the PP&E assets is \$11,415,791.
2. Under previous GAAP, impairment testing on oil and gas properties is performed at a cost centre level. Under IFRS, impairment testing is performed at the CGU level. This will result in a greater number of impairment tests. At January 1, 2010, Valeura did not have any impairment on its PP&E under IFRS.
3. Depletion and depreciation of PP&E is calculated using the unit-of-production method under IFRS using proved plus probable reserves. Depreciation of office equipment will continue to be calculated using a declining balance method.
4. IFRS 1 allows Valeura to use the IFRS rules for business combinations on a prospective basis rather than restating all business combinations. Valeura elected to use this exemption and therefore did not record any adjustments to retrospectively restate any of its business combinations that have occurred prior to January 1, 2010.
5. Under previous GAAP, Valeura's decommissioning obligation was discounted over its life based on a credit adjusted risk free rate which was 8% at December 31, 2009. Under IFRS, Valeura is required to revalue its liability for decommissioning costs at each balance sheet date using a current liability-specific discount rate. As a result, the Company's decommissioning obligation increased upon transition to IFRS as the liability was re-valued using a discount rate of 4% to reflect the Company's estimated risk-free rate of interest. The re-valued decommissioning obligation at the transition date was \$253,900 with the offset being charged to retained earnings as also provided for under the deemed cost election for full cost oil and gas companies.
6. Under previous GAAP, Valeura expensed stock-based compensation on a straight-line basis. Under IFRS, share based payments are expensed based on a graded and accelerated vesting schedule. Valeura also incorporated a forfeiture multiplier rather than account for forfeitures as they occur as was practiced under previous GAAP. The adjustment to contributed surplus to account for the graded vesting and forfeitures was an increase of \$30,275 with the offset being charged to retained earnings.
7. Under previous GAAP, the deferred tax liability associated with the renouncement of tax deductions from the issuance of flow through shares was recorded as a reduction in share capital at the time of renouncement. Under IFRS, the difference between the deferred tax liability associated with the renouncement of the tax deductions and the premium price received on the issuance of flow through shares over the market value of the Company's common shares at the time of issue is recorded as a deferred tax expense at the time of the renouncement. This deferred tax expense effectively represents the net loss on the distribution of the tax deductions to investors. The transitional adjustment resulted in an increase of \$280,704 to share capital with a resulting offset being charged to retained earnings.
8. Under previous GAAP, deferred transaction costs were recognized. Under IFRS, transaction costs are expensed as incurred.

*Use of estimates and judgments:*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the year in which the estimates are revised and in any future years affected.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Reserve estimates including production profiles, future development costs, and discount rates are a critical part of many of the estimated amounts and calculations contained in the financial statements. These estimates are verified by third party professional engineers, who work with information provided by the Company to establish reserve determinations. These determinations are updated at least on an annual basis.

Significant areas of estimation, uncertainty and critical judgments in applying accounting policies that impact the amounts recognized in the interim consolidated financial statements include:

- Impairment testing – estimates of reserves, future commodity prices, future costs, production profiles, discount rates and the market value of undeveloped land.
- Depletion and depreciation - oil and natural gas reserves, including future prices, costs and the reserve base to use in calculation of depletion.
- Decommissioning obligations – estimates relating to amounts, likelihood, timing, inflation and discount rates.
- Stock-based compensation – forfeiture rates and share price volatility.
- Deferred tax expense – estimates relating to the reversal of temporary differences, tax rates substantively enacted, and likelihood of assets being realized.

The following provides summary reconciliations of Valeura's previous GAAP to IFRS results:

*Summary Statement of Financial Position Reconciliations*

As at December 31, 2010

	Previous GAAP	Effect of transition to IFRS	Note	IFRS
Current assets	\$ 21,953,666	\$ -		\$ 21,953,666
Exploration and evaluation	-	5,389,420	1	5,389,420
Property, plant and equipment	16,547,844	(5,858,675)	2	10,689,169
Goodwill	257,313	(122,818)	3	134,495
	<b>\$ 38,758,823</b>	<b>\$ (592,073)</b>		<b>\$ 38,166,750</b>
Current liabilities	\$ 2,256,699	\$ -		\$ 2,256,699
Decommissioning obligations	487,914	107,080	4	594,994
Share capital	46,554,120	419,904	5	46,974,024
Contributed surplus	3,207,196	1,806,761	6	5,013,957
Accumulated other comprehensive income	-	203	7	203
Deficit	(13,747,106)	(2,926,021)		(16,673,127)
	<b>36,014,210</b>	<b>(699,153)</b>		<b>35,315,057</b>
	<b>\$ 38,758,823</b>	<b>\$ (592,073)</b>		<b>\$ 38,166,750</b>

1. E&E adjustments include the impact of reclassification of E&E assets from PP&E (\$6,089,339 increase in E&E) and the transfer of E&E assets to expense on drilling of unsuccessful wells on the Company's Canadian assets (\$699,919 decrease in E&E).

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

2. The PP&E adjustments include the impact of reclassification of E&E assets (\$6,089,339 decrease in PP&E), lower depletion as a result of using proved plus probable reserves to calculate depletion (\$1,617,668 increase in PP&E), impairment on the Company's Canadian CGUs (\$1,321,234 decrease in PP&E and \$156,994 decrease in goodwill), reduction of capitalized G&A (\$80,141 decrease in PP&E), increase decommissioning obligations (\$15,935 increase in PP&E), and a decrease of capitalized stock-based compensation (1,564 decrease in PP&E).
3. Goodwill adjustments include the impact of recalculating the decommissioning provision on the PanWestern acquisition in Q2 (\$34,176 increase in goodwill) and impairment on one of the Company's Canadian CGUs (\$156,994 decrease in goodwill).
4. Includes the adjustment to revalue the liability to a risk-free interest rate of 3.5% at December 31, 2010.
5. See January 1, 2010 IFRS adjustments disclosure.
6. Includes recalculation of stock-based compensation incorporating graded accelerated vesting and a forfeiture multiplier.
7. Includes recalculation of translation gains on the Company's subsidiaries operating with a functional currency of Turkish Lira and translating to the Canadian Dollar for presentation purposes.

*Summary Statement of Financial Position Reconciliations*

	<b>Annual</b>	<b>Q4-2010</b>	<b>Q3-2010</b>	<b>Q2-2010</b>	<b>Q1-2010</b>
Net loss – previous GAAP	\$ (9,134,996)	\$ (2,045,583)	\$ (2,198,913)	\$ (3,145,674)	\$ (1,744,826)
Addition/(deduction):					
General and administrative	(80,141)	(18,566)	(12,828)	(29,236)	(19,511)
Stock-based compensation	(1,778,050)	(506,503)	(445,369)	(460,277)	(365,901)
Exploration and evaluation	(699,919)	(90,640)	(513,484)	-	(95,795)
Depletion and depreciation	1,617,668	338,041	443,663	437,342	398,622
Decommissioning accretion	10,431	2,944	3,116	3,371	1,000
PP&E impairment	(1,478,228)	(1,030,078)	(448,150)	-	-
Foreign exchange	(203)	(203)	-	-	-
Deferred transaction costs	-	-	-	-	200,000
Deferred tax	(80,700)	-	-	-	(80,700)
	(2,489,142)	(1,305,005)	(973,052)	(48,800)	37,715
Net loss – IFRS	\$ (11,624,138)	\$ (3,350,588)	\$ (3,171,965)	\$ (3,194,474)	\$ (1,707,111)

## Impact of Transition to IFRS on 2010 Results:

- Exploration and Evaluation ("E&E") – In 2010, Valeura incurred \$6,089,339 of E&E expenditures drilling wells in Canada and meeting its AME-GYP farm-in obligations. \$699,919 of this amount was reclassified from E&E assets to E&E expense upon determination of unsuccessful Canadian drilling operations.
- Impairment of PP&E – Under IFRS, impairment tests of PP&E are performed at a CGU level as opposed to the entire Company's PP&E balance with a full cost ceiling test under previous GAAP. Impairment is recognized if the carrying value exceeds the recoverable amount for a CGU. The recoverable amount is determined using fair value less costs to sell based on discounted future cash flows of proved plus probable reserves using forecast prices and costs. In the third quarter of 2010, as a result of decreased Canadian natural gas prices and a subsequent decrease in the Company's future natural gas prices used in the Company's reserves, Valeura

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

incurred a \$448,150 impairment charge in one Canadian CGU. Further deterioration in future natural gas pricing in the fourth quarter of 2010, resulted in the Company incurring an additional \$1,030,078 impairment charge on the two natural gas weighted CGUs in Canada. PP&E impairments can be reversed in the future if the recoverable amount increases.

- Depletion and depreciation expense – Under IFRS, Valeura has chosen to calculate the depletion expense utilizing proved plus probable reserves as opposed to only proved reserves under previous GAAP. This has resulted in a reduction of depletion and depreciation expense of \$1,617,668 in 2010.

**New standards and interpretations not yet adopted:**

In November 2009, the IASB published IFRS 9, “Financial Instruments,” which covers the classification and measurement of financial assets as part of its project to replace IAS 39, “Financial Instruments; Recognition and Measurement.” In October 2010, the requirements for classifying and measuring financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9. Under this guidance, entities have the option to recognize financial liabilities at fair value through earnings. If this option is elected, entities would be required to reverse the portion of the fair value change due to a company’s own credit risk out of earnings and recognize the change in other comprehensive income. IFRS 9 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. Early adoption is permitted and the standard is required to be applied retrospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting IFRS 9.

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting:**

The Company’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that: (i) material information relating to the Company is made known to the Company’s CEO and CFO by others, particularly during the period in which the annual and interim filings are being prepared; and (ii) information required to be disclosed by the Company in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in securities legislation.

The Company’s CEO and CFO have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, internal controls over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company is required to disclose herein any change in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period beginning on January 1, 2011 and ended on March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting. No material changes in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting were identified during such period that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting.

It should be noted that a control system, including the Company’s disclosure and internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met and it should not be expected that the disclosure and internal controls and procedures will prevent all errors or fraud.

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company had no off balance sheet arrangements outstanding as at March 31, 2011 and there are no arrangements outstanding at the date of this MD&A other than the credit facilities in favour of the bank which are secured through the existing \$10,000,000 floating charge debenture.

### **Financial Instruments**

Financial instruments of the Company include accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and the credit facility. The carrying values of the financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their relatively short periods to maturity. Borrowings under the bank credit facilities are market rate based.

### **Business Risks and Uncertainties**

There are a number of risk factors that the Company faces as participants in the Canadian and international oil and gas industries, which are inherently risky. Certain key risk factors are discussed below:

#### **Foreign Operations**

The Company pursues operations outside of Canada. As such, the Company's operations will be subject to a number of risks over which it has no control. These risks may include risks related to economic, social or political instability or change, terrorism, hyperinflation, currency non-convertibility or instability and changes of laws affecting foreign ownership, interpretation or renegotiation of existing contracts, government participation, taxation, working conditions, rates of exchange, exchange control, exploration licensing, petroleum and export licensing and export duties as well as government control over domestic oil and gas pricing. Problems may also arise due to the quality or failure of locally obtained equipment or technical support, which could result in failure to achieve expected target dates for exploration operations or result in a requirement for greater expenditure.

The Company will operate in such a manner as to minimize and mitigate its exposure to these risks. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in protecting itself from the impact of all of these risks.

#### **Prices, Markets and Marketing**

The marketability and price of oil and natural gas that may be acquired or discovered by the Company in Turkey or Canada will be affected by numerous factors beyond its control. The Company's ability to market its natural gas may depend upon its ability to acquire space on pipelines that deliver natural gas to commercial markets. The Company may also be affected by deliverability uncertainties related to the proximity of its reserves to pipelines and processing facilities, and related to operational problems with such pipelines and facilities as well as extensive government regulation relating to price, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production, the export of oil and natural gas and many other aspects of the oil and natural gas business. The Company's revenues, profitability, future growth and the carrying value of its oil and gas properties, provided such properties yield production, are substantially dependent on prevailing prices of oil and gas.

The Company's ability to borrow and to obtain additional capital on attractive terms is also substantially dependent upon oil and gas prices. Prices for oil and gas are subject to large fluctuations in response to relatively minor changes in the supply of and demand for oil and gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include economic conditions in the United States and Canada, the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC"), governmental regulation, political stability in the Middle East and elsewhere, the foreign supply of oil and gas, the price of foreign imports and the availability of alternative fuel sources. Any substantial and extended decline in the price of oil and gas would have an adverse effect on the Company's carrying value of its proved reserves, borrowing capacity, revenues,

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

profitability and cash flows from operations. The exchange rate between the Canadian and U.S. dollar also affects the profitability of the Company.

### **Variations in Foreign Exchange Rates and Interest Rates**

World oil and gas prices are quoted in United States dollars and the price received by Canadian producers is therefore affected by the Canadian/United States dollar exchange rate, which will fluctuate over time. In recent years, the Canadian dollar has increased materially in value against the United States dollar although the Canadian dollar has recently decreased from such levels. Material increases in the value of the Canadian dollar will negatively impact the Company's production revenues. Future Canadian/United States and Canadian/Turkish Lira exchange rates could accordingly impact the future value of the Company's reserves as determined by independent evaluators. The Company's functional currency in its subsidiary operations in Turkey is the Turkish Lira. The revenue stream will be translated into Turkish Lira from US Dollars and the majority of costs will be incurred in Turkish Lira in the future. Increases in the value of the Turkish Lira could result in increases in the cost of operations. To the extent that the Company engages in risk management activities related to foreign exchange rates, there is a credit risk associated with counterparties with which the Company may contract. An increase in interest rates could result in a significant increase in the amount the Company may be required to pay to service debt.

### **Volatility of Commodity Prices**

Prices for oil and natural gas fluctuate in response to changes in the supply of and demand for petroleum and natural gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are largely beyond the Company's control. Oil prices are determined by international supply and demand. Factors which affect oil prices include the actions of OPEC, world economic conditions, government regulation, political stability throughout the world, the availability of alternative fuel sources and weather conditions. Natural gas prices in Canada and internationally are affected by supply and demand, weather conditions and by prices of alternative sources of energy.

World oil and gas prices are quoted in United States dollars and the price received by the Company is affected by the Canadian/US dollar exchange rate, which will fluctuate over time. Material increases in the value of the Canadian dollar may negatively impact production revenues. Such increases may also negatively impact the future value of reserves as determined by independent evaluators. In recent years, the Canadian dollar has increased materially in value against the United States dollar.

The impact on the oil and gas industry, in general, from commodity price volatility is significant. During periods of high prices, producers generate sufficient cash flows to conduct active exploration programs without external capital. Increased commodity prices frequently translate into very busy periods for service suppliers triggering premium costs for their services. Purchasing land and properties similarly increases in cost during these periods. During low commodity price periods, acquisition costs drop, as do internally generated funds to spend on exploration and development activities. With decreased demand, the prices charged by the various service suppliers also decline. This volatility causes significant variation in net production revenue for the Company from period to period. In an environment of low prices, certain wells or other projects may become uneconomic and the Company may elect not to produce from certain wells, leading to a reduction in development opportunities and the volume and value of reserves.

Volatile oil and gas prices make it difficult to estimate the acquisition value of producing properties and often cause disruption in the market for oil and gas producing properties, as buyers and sellers have difficulty agreeing on such value.

### **Capital Requirements**

The impact on capital markets caused by investor uncertainty in the global economy has a significant impact on the Company's business model. The Company anticipates making substantial capital expenditures for the acquisition, exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas reserves in the future. There can be no assurance

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

that debt or equity financing will be available or that cash generated by operations will be sufficient to make these expenditures. If debt or equity financing is available, it may not be on terms acceptable to the Company. Failure to obtain such financing on a timely basis could cause the Company to miss certain acquisition opportunities.

### **Third Party Credit Risk**

The Company must successfully market its oil and natural gas to prospective buyers. The Company may be exposed to third party credit risk through its contractual arrangements with its current or future marketers of its oil and natural gas production. In the event such entities fail to meet their contractual obligations to the Company, such failures may have a material impact on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In addition, poor credit conditions in the industry and of joint venture partners may impact a joint venture partner's willingness to participate in the Company's ongoing capital program, potentially delaying the program and the results of such program unless sole risk provisions are available under the joint venture agreements.

### **Exploration, Development and Production**

The long-term commercial success of the Company will depend on its ability to find, acquire, develop and commercially produce oil and natural gas reserves. No assurance can be given that the Company will be able to locate satisfactory properties for acquisition or participation. Moreover, if such acquisition or participations are identified, the Company may determine that current markets, terms of acquisition and participation or pricing conditions make such acquisitions or participations uneconomic.

Future oil and gas exploration may involve unprofitable efforts, not only from dry wells, but from wells that are productive but do not produce sufficient net revenues to return a profit after drilling, operating and other costs. Completion of a well does not assure a profit on the investment or recovery of drilling, completion and operating costs. In addition, drilling hazards or environmental damage could greatly increase the cost of operations, and various field operating conditions may adversely affect the production from successful wells. These conditions include delays in obtaining governmental approvals or consents, shut-ins of wells resulting from extreme weather conditions, insufficient storage or transportation capacity or other geological and mechanical conditions. While diligent well supervision and effective maintenance operations can contribute to maximizing production rates over time, production delays and declines from normal field operating conditions cannot be eliminated and can be expected to adversely affect revenue and cash flow levels to varying degrees.

In addition, operations are subject to the risks of exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas properties, including encountering unexpected formations or pressures, premature declines of reservoirs, the invasion of water into producing formations, blow-outs, sour gas releases, fires and spills. Losses resulting from the occurrence of any of these risks could have a materially adverse effect on future results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

The Company attempts to control operating risks by maintaining a disciplined approach to implementation of its exploration and development programs. Exploration risks are managed by hiring experienced technical professionals and by concentrating the exploration activity on specific core regions that have multi-zone potential where the Company has experience and expertise. The Company also generates internal prospects and participates in projects where ownership interest is considered sufficient to minimize risk.

### **Uncertainty of Reserve Estimates**

The process of estimating oil and gas reserves is complex and involves a significant number of assumptions in evaluating available geological, geophysical, engineering and economic data; therefore, reserves estimates are inherently uncertain. To estimate the economically recoverable oil and natural gas reserves and related future net cash flows, many factors and assumptions are incorporated such as expected reservoir characteristics based on geological, geophysical and engineering assessments, future production rates based on historical performance and

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

---

expected future operating and investment activities, future oil and gas prices and quality differentials, future development and operating costs and assumed effects of regulation by government agencies.

Properties will, over a period of time, actually deliver oil and natural gas in quantities different than originally estimated due to changes in reservoir performance. The timing of future capital expenditures is subject to uncertainty. Projected future commodity prices and the operating and capital cost structure are subject to significant management judgment and currently, highly volatile. Actions by Canadian provincial governments and foreign governments to alter their respective royalty and tax regimes may have a significant and unpredictable impact.

### **Environment, Health and Safety**

All phases of the oil and natural gas business present environmental risks and hazards and are subject to environmental regulation pursuant to a variety of federal, provincial and local laws and regulations. In Canada and other international jurisdictions, environmental legislation provides for, among other things, restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions of various substances produced in association with oil and natural gas operations. The legislation also requires that wells and facility sites be operated, maintained, abandoned and reclaimed to the satisfaction of applicable regulatory authorities. Compliance with such legislation can require significant expenditures and a breach of applicable environmental legislation may result in the imposition of fines and penalties, some of which may be material.

Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner expected to result in stricter standards and enforcement, larger fines and liability and potentially increased capital expenditures and operating costs. The discharge of oil, natural gas or other pollutants into the air, soil or water may give rise to liabilities to governments and third parties and may require the Company to incur costs to remedy such discharge. There are potential risks to the environment inherent in the business activities of the Company.

### **Management of Growth**

The Company may be subject to growth-related risks including capacity constraints and pressure on its internal systems and controls. The ability of the Company to manage growth effectively will require it to continue to implement and improve its operational and financial systems and to expand, train and manage its employee base. The potential inability of the Company to deal with this growth could have a material adverse impact on its business, operations and prospects.

### **Insurance**

The Company's involvement in the exploration for and development of oil and natural gas properties may result in the Company becoming subject to liability for pollution, blow outs, leaks of sour natural gas, property damage, personal injury or other hazards. Although the Company maintains insurance in accordance with industry standards to address certain of these risks, such insurance has limitations on liability and may not be sufficient to cover the full extent of such liabilities. In addition, such risks are not, in all circumstances, insurable or, in certain circumstances, the Company may elect not to obtain insurance to deal with specific risks due to the high premiums associated with such insurance or other reasons. The payment of any uninsured liabilities would reduce the funds available to the Company. The occurrence of a significant event that the Company is not fully insured against, or the insolvency of the insurer of such event, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.